

COURT OF APPEALS SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS

FORT WORTH

NO. 02-17-00337-CR

RICARDO PALOS APPELLANT

٧.

THE STATE OF TEXAS STATE

FROM THE 211TH DISTRICT COURT OF DENTON COUNTY TRIAL COURT NO. F16-1631-431

MEMORANDUM OPINION¹

Appellant Ricardo Palos appeals his conviction for felony assault family violence, enhanced by a prior assault conviction. See Tex. Penal Code Ann. § 22.01 (West Supp. 2017).

Appellant's court-appointed appellate counsel filed a motion to withdraw as counsel and a brief in support of that motion. See Anders v. California, 386 U.S.

¹See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4.

738, 744–45, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 1400 (1967). Counsel's brief and motion meet the requirements of *Anders v. California* by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds for relief. *Id.* Appellant had the opportunity to file a pro se response to the *Anders* brief but has not done so; the State has not filed a brief.

Once an appellant's court-appointed attorney files a motion to withdraw on the ground that the appeal is frivolous and fulfills the requirements of *Anders*, this court is obligated to undertake an independent examination of the record. *See Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991); *Mays v. State*, 904 S.W.2d 920, 922–23 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1995, no pet.). Only then may we grant counsel's motion to withdraw. *See Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 82–83, 109 S. Ct. 346, 351 (1988).

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief. We agree with counsel that this appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit; we find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. See Bledsoe v. State, 178 S.W.3d 824, 827–28 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005); see also Meza v. State, 206 S.W.3d 684, 685 n.6 (Tex. Crim. App. 2006). Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw and affirm the trial court's judgment.²

²During these proceedings, Appellant filed a "Motion For Out of Time Appeal." Appellant was notified by his attorney and by a letter from this court of his right to review the record and file a pro se response to the *Anders* brief pointing out any alleged errors. He has not done so. We therefore deny his motion.

/s/ Bonnie Sudderth

BONNIE SUDDERTH CHIEF JUSTICE

PANEL: SUDDERTH, C.J.; KERR and PITTMAN, JJ.

DO NOT PUBLISH Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b)

DELIVERED: August 30, 2018