



**COURT OF APPEALS
SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH**

NO. 02-18-00033-CR

QUINCY DEMOND BLAKELY

APPELLANT

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

STATE

FROM COUNTY CRIMINAL COURT NO. 5 OF DENTON COUNTY
TRIAL COURT NO. CR-2015-06355-E

MEMORANDUM OPINION¹

Appellant Quincy Demond Blakely, who is proceeding pro se, attempts to appeal the trial court's January 29, 2018 order denying his "Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter and Personal Jurisdiction."

On January 31, 2018, we notified Blakely of our concern that we lacked jurisdiction over his appeal because the trial court's order did not appear to be an

¹See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4.

appealable interlocutory order. We informed Blakely that unless he or any party desiring to continue the appeal filed a response showing grounds for continuing the appeal by February 12, 2018, we would dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction. See Tex. R. App. P. 42.3(a), 44.3. Blakely filed a response that did not address the order at issue, so on March 26, 2018, we extended the time—to April 5, 2018—for Blakely to respond to our jurisdiction letter. We received no response.

Accordingly, because we do not have jurisdiction to review interlocutory orders unless that jurisdiction has been expressly granted by law, we dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction. See Tex. R. App. P. 42.3(c), 43.2(f); *Ragston v. State*, 424 S.W.3d 49, 52 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014).

PER CURIAM

PANEL: WALKER, MEIER, and GABRIEL, JJ.

DO NOT PUBLISH
Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b)

DELIVERED: June 14, 2018