



**COURT OF APPEALS
SECOND DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH**

NO. 02-18-00167-CV

YOUNG JU PAK

APPELLANT

V.

YOUNG EUN YANG

APPELLEE

FROM THE 231ST DISTRICT COURT OF TARRANT COUNTY
TRIAL COURT NO. 231-601904-16

MEMORANDUM OPINION¹

Appellant Young Ju Pak attempts to appeal from the trial court's February 12, 2018 "Amended Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment," which grants a bill of review but does not dispose of the merits of the underlying controversy between the parties. On May 25, 2018, we sent a letter to Appellant expressing our concern that we may not have jurisdiction over her appeal

¹See Tex. R. App. P. 47.4.

because the order appealed from does not appear to be a final judgment or appealable interlocutory order. See *Lehmann v. Har-Con Corp.*, 39 S.W.3d 191, 195 (Tex. 2001) (explaining that “the general rule, with a few mostly statutory exceptions, is that an appeal may be taken only from a final judgment”); *Alaimo v. U.S. Bank Tr. Nat’l Ass’n*, No. 02-16-00123-CV, 2017 WL 3633297, at *4 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth Aug. 24, 2017, no pet.) (holding that order granting bill of review that fails to dispose of the merits of the underlying controversy between the parties was not a final judgment). We stated that unless Appellant or any party desiring to continue the appeal filed a response showing grounds for continuing this appeal by June 8, 2018, this appeal could be dismissed for want of jurisdiction. See Tex. R. App. P. 42.3(a), 44.3. We did not receive a response. Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal for want of jurisdiction. See Tex. R. App. P. 42.3(a), 43.2(f).

PER CURIAM

PANEL: WALKER, MEIER, and GABRIEL, JJ.

DELIVERED: July 12, 2018