



**In the  
Court of Appeals  
Second Appellate District of Texas  
at Fort Worth**

---

No. 02-19-00315-CR  
No. 02-19-00316-CR

---

MOLLY BOOTS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

---

On Appeal from Criminal District Court No. 3  
Tarrant County, Texas  
Trial Court Nos. 1557065D, 1508523D

---

Before Bassel, Womack, and Wallach, JJ.  
Per Curiam Memorandum Opinion

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Molly Boots, who has appointed counsel but filed a pro se notice of appeal, attempts to appeal from the trial court's "Order For Competency Examination." We informed Boots by letter that it appeared that she was not attempting to appeal from a final judgment or from an otherwise appealable order and notified her that we would dismiss these appeals for want of jurisdiction unless she showed grounds for continuing them. Boots has not responded.

In a criminal case, we generally have jurisdiction only when the trial court has signed a judgment of conviction. *McKown v. State*, 915 S.W.2d 160, 161 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1996, no pet.). "We do not have jurisdiction to review interlocutory orders unless that jurisdiction has been expressly granted to us by law." *Id.* The order about which Boots complains is not a final judgment of conviction or an appealable interlocutory order. *See, e.g., Morales v. State*, 830 S.W.2d 139, 140 (Tex. Crim. App. 1992). Therefore, we dismiss these appeals for want of jurisdiction. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 43.2(f); *McKown*, 915 S.W.2d at 161.

Per Curiam

Do Not Publish  
Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b)

Delivered: October 17, 2019