



**In the  
Court of Appeals  
Second Appellate District of Texas  
at Fort Worth**

---

No. 02-19-00449-CV

---

GREGORY JOSEPH FINSTER, Appellant

v.

JACQUELINE LENORE FINSTER, Appellee

---

On Appeal from the 324th District Court  
Tarrant County, Texas  
Trial Court No. 324-671809-19

---

Before Sudderth, C.J.; Gabriel and Kerr, JJ.  
Per Curiam Memorandum Opinion

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND JUDGMENT

On March 30, 2020, we notified appellant that his brief had not been filed and that his appeal could be dismissed for want of prosecution unless he filed a brief and motion explaining the need for an extension by April 9. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 10.5(b), 38.8(a)(1).

On April 28, 2020, we informed appellant that if he intended for his December 2, 2019 “Notice of Appeal” to serve as his brief, it did not conform to the briefing rules under Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 38.1, with our Local Rule 1.A, or with Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.4(i). We notified him that we could dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution unless he filed an amended brief that complied with the above rules, and we gave him until May 12, 2020, to file the amended brief and an accompanying motion to explain the need for an extension. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 9, 10.5(b), 38.1, 38.8, 38.9(a), 42.3.

We have received no response.

Because appellant has failed to file a brief even after we afforded him an opportunity to explain his initial failure to do so, we dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 38.8(a)(1), 42.3(b), 43.2(f).

Per Curiam

Delivered: July 2, 2020