



**In the
Court of Appeals
Second Appellate District of Texas
at Fort Worth**

No. 02-20-00064-CR

No. 02-20-00065-CR

KENT ALTONIO ROGERS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS

On Appeal from Criminal District Court No. 3
Tarrant County, Texas
Trial Court Nos. 0857725D, 0834865D

Before Sudderth, C.J.; Gabriel and Kerr, JJ.
Memorandum Opinion by Chief Justice Sudderth

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Kent Altonio Rogers filed pro se motions for DNA testing and appointment of counsel on January 23, 2020, and then attempted to appeal on March 16, 2020.¹

On April 17, 2020, we notified Appellant of our concern that there were no appealable orders because the trial court clerk had informed us that the trial judge had not signed any orders ruling on Appellant's motions, making his attempts to appeal premature. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 26.2(a)(1); 27.1(b). We gave the parties until May 7, 2020, to furnish us with signed orders to avoid dismissal of the appeals for lack of jurisdiction. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 43.2(f), 44.3, 44.4(a)(2).

The trial court clerk has informed us that no orders have been signed. Because no orders have been signed by the trial court, we lack jurisdiction over these appeals. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeals for lack of jurisdiction. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 25.2(b), 26.2(a)(1), 27.1(b), 43.2(f).

/s/ Bonnie Sudderth
Bonnie Sudderth
Chief Justice

Do Not Publish
Tex. R. App. P. 47.2(b)

¹In 2006, Appellant filed a motion for DNA testing and for appointment of counsel. *See In re Rogers*, No. 02-06-00287-CV, 2006 WL 2627317, at *1 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth Sept. 8, 2006) (orig. proceeding) (per curiam). The trial court appointed counsel for him, *see id.*, but ultimately denied the motion for DNA testing.

Delivered: July 16, 2020