NO. 07-04-0331-CR

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE SEVENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS

AT AMARILLO

PANEL D

APRIL 6, 2005

BLANCA ESTELA RODRIGUEZ, a.k.a., BLANCA ESTELA ALVAREZ, a.k.a, BLANCA RODRIGUEZ ALVAREZ

Appellant

٧.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appell	ee
--------	----

FROM THE 47TH DISTRICT COURT OF POTTER COUNTY;

NO. 47,840-A; HON. PATRICK PIRTLE, PRESIDING

Before QUINN, REAVIS, and CAMPBELL, JJ.

Appellant Blanca Estela Rodriguez appeals her conviction of theft, enhanced by two prior convictions. After a bench trial, she was sentenced to two years confinement in a state jail facility and fined \$10,000.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw, together with an *Anders*¹ brief wherein she certified that, after diligently searching the record, she concluded the appeal was without merit. Along with her brief, appellate counsel attached a copy of a

¹ Anders v. California, 396 U.S. 738, 744-45, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967).

letter sent to appellant informing her of counsel's belief that there was no reversible error

and of appellant's right to file a response or brief pro se. By letter dated October 13, 2004,

this court also notified appellant of her right to tender her own brief or response and set

November 10, 2004, as the deadline to do so. To date, appellant has filed neither a

response, brief, nor request for an extension of time.

In compliance with the principles enunciated in Anders, appellate counsel discussed

two potential areas for appeal. They involved the legal and factual sufficiency of the

evidence. Thereafter, she illustrated how it lawfully supported the conviction.

We have conducted our own review of the record to assess the accuracy of

appellate counsel's conclusions and to uncover any error per Stafford v. State, 813 S.W.2d

503 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). That review also failed to uncover any reversible error.

Accordingly, the motion to withdraw is granted, and the judgment is affirmed.

Brian Quinn Justice

Do not publish.

2