

NO. 07-06-0056-CR  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SEVENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AT AMARILLO  
PANEL B  
OCTOBER 16, 2006

---

RODGER WAYNE MITCHELL,

Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

---

FROM THE 64<sup>TH</sup> DISTRICT COURT OF CASTRO COUNTY;  
NO. A3024-0506; HON. ROBERT W. KINKAID, JR., PRESIDING

---

***Memorandum Opinion***

---

Before QUINN, C.J., and CAMPBELL and HANCOCK, JJ.

Rodger Wayne Mitchell (appellant) appeals his conviction for burglary of a habitation. He was convicted by a jury and sentenced to twenty years confinement and a fine of \$10,000. Appellant's appointed counsel has filed a motion to withdraw, together

with an *Anders*<sup>1</sup> brief, in which he certified that, after diligently searching the record, the appeal was without merit. Appellant filed a response on August 4, 2006.

In compliance with the principles enunciated in *Anders*, appellate counsel reviewed the various stages of the trial and discussed several potential areas for appeal. However, he adequately explained why each argument lacks merit. We have also conducted our own review of the record and appellant's response to assess the accuracy of appellate counsel's conclusions and to uncover any error pursuant to *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.3d 503 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). Our own review has failed to reveal any reversible error.

Accordingly, the motion to withdraw is granted, and the judgment is affirmed.

Brian Quinn  
Chief Justice

Do not publish.

---

<sup>1</sup>*Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744-45, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967).