

NO. 07-06-0399-CR
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AT AMARILLO
PANEL B
JANUARY 30, 2007

THERESE ANN LADD,

Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

FROM THE 251ST DISTRICT COURT OF RANDALL COUNTY;

NO. 18189-C; HON. PATRICK A. PIRTLE, PRESIDING

Before QUINN, C.J., and CAMPBELL and HANCOCK, JJ.

Therese Ann Ladd appeals from a judgment convicting her of failing to comply with the sex offender registration requirements. We affirm.

Appellant was initially convicted of aggravated sexual assault of a child and indecency with a child by contact. She was subsequently charged with failing to register as a sex offender within 90 days as required by law. She pled true to that allegation without a recommendation as to punishment.

Appellant's counsel has now moved to withdraw, after filing a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), representing he has searched the record and found no arguable grounds for reversal. The motion and brief illustrate that counsel notified appellant of her right to file her own brief. So too did we inform appellant that any *pro se* response or brief she cared to file had to be filed by January 29, 2007. To date, no response or request for extension of time to file a response has been received.

In compliance with the principles enunciated in *Anders*, appellate counsel discussed two potential areas for appeal. They involve 1) whether appellant was afforded due process at her plea hearing, and 2) the effectiveness of trial counsel. However, counsel has satisfactorily explained why each argument lacks merit.

We have also conducted our own review of the record to assess the accuracy of appellate counsel's conclusions and to uncover any reversible error pursuant to *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). Our own review has failed to reveal such error.

Accordingly, the motion to withdraw is granted, and the judgment is affirmed.

Brian Quinn
Chief Justice

Do not publish.