

NO. 07-07-0398-CR
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SEVENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AT AMARILLO
PANEL B
JANUARY 11, 2008

ADRIAN MAURICE THOMAS,

Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

FROM THE 47TH DISTRICT COURT OF POTTER COUNTY;
NO. 52,883-A; HON. HAL MINER, PRESIDING

Memorandum Opinion

Before QUINN, C.J., and CAMPBELL and HANCOCK, JJ.

Adrian Maurice Thomas appeals his conviction for possessing a controlled substance, namely cocaine. His appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw, together with an *Anders*¹ brief wherein he certified that after diligently searching the record, he concluded that the appeal is without merit. Counsel has also attached a copy of a letter sent to appellant informing him of counsel's belief and of appellant's right to file his own

¹*Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744-45, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967).

brief or response *pro se*. By letter dated December 4, 2007, this court notified appellant of the same right and set January 3, 2008, as the deadline to respond. To date, appellant has filed neither a response, brief, nor request for an extension of time.

In compliance with the principles of *Anders*, appellate counsel discussed three potential areas for appeal. They involved 1) the sufficiency of the evidence, 2) the effectiveness of trial counsel, and 3) the measure of punishment assessed. Counsel then explained why each argument lacked merit.

We also conducted our own review of the record to assess the accuracy of counsel's conclusions and to uncover any error pursuant to *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). That review failed to reveal any reversible error.

Accordingly, the motion to withdraw is granted, and the judgment is affirmed.

Brian Quinn
Chief Justice

Do not publish.