

NO. 07-12-0040-CR  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SEVENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AT AMARILLO  
PANEL D  
DECEMBER 27, 2012

---

TIMOTHY D. CHAMBERS,

Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

---

FROM THE 432ND DISTRICT COURT OF TARRANT COUNTY;

NO. 1238340R; HON. DAVID CLEVELAND, PRESIDING

---

***Memorandum Opinion***

---

Before QUINN, C.J., and CAMPBELL and PIRTLE, JJ.

Appellant, Timothy D. Chambers, appeals his conviction for aggravated assault with a deadly weapon. He pled guilty to a jury and it assessed punishment at thirty years in prison.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw, together with an *Anders*<sup>1</sup> brief, wherein he certified that, after diligently searching the record, he

---

<sup>1</sup>See *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744-45, 87 S.Ct.1396,18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967).

concluded that the appeal was without merit. Along with his brief, appellate counsel filed a copy of a letter sent to appellant informing him of counsel's belief that there was no reversible error and of appellant's right to file a response *pro se*. By letter dated April 10, 2012, this court notified appellant of his right to file his own brief or response by June 11, 2012, if he wished to do so. To date, a response has not been filed.

In compliance with the principles enunciated in *Anders*, appellate counsel discussed three potential areas for appeal which included 1) jurisdiction, 2) rulings on pretrial motions, and 3) ineffective assistance of counsel. However, counsel then proceeded to explain why the issues were without merit.

In addition, we conducted our own review of the record to assess the accuracy of appellate counsel's conclusions and to uncover any arguable error pursuant to *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 508 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). After doing so, we concur with counsel's conclusions.

Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the trial court and grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

Brian Quinn  
Chief Justice

Do not publish.