



**In The
Court of Appeals
Seventh District of Texas at Amarillo**

No. 07-15-00394-CV

IN THE INTEREST OF A.O. AND A.O., CHILDREN

On Appeal from the County Court at Law No 1
Randall County, Texas
Trial Court No. 11,347-L1, Honorable Jack M. Graham, Presiding

January 22, 2016

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before QUINN, C.J., and CAMPBELL and HANCOCK, JJ.

Appellant, B.Q., had her parental rights to A.O. and A.O. terminated and has appealed from that order. Her appointed counsel has filed a motion to withdraw, together with an *Anders*¹ brief wherein she certified that, after diligently searching the record, she has concluded that the appeal is without merit. Along with her brief, appellate counsel has attached a copy of a letter sent to B.Q. informing her of her right to file a response *pro se*. On December 18, 2015, counsel certified that she had provided a paper copy of the record to B.Q. After requesting an extension, appellant's

¹ *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967).

response was set to be filed no later than January 21, 2016. To date, we have not received a response.

In compliance with the principles enunciated in *Anders*, appellate counsel discussed the legal and factual sufficiency of the evidence to support the trial court's statutory findings under the Texas Family Code § 161.001(b)(1)(D), (E) and (O) as a basis for termination and the finding that termination is in the best interests of the children. However, she has also explained why the evidence is sufficient to support those findings. We also have conducted our own review of the record to uncover any reversible error and have found none.

Accordingly, the motion to withdraw is granted, and the judgment is affirmed.

Brian Quinn
Chief Justice