



**In The
Court of Appeals
Seventh District of Texas at Amarillo**

No. 07-15-00333-CR

DANIEL GRISSOM, APPELLANT

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, APPELLEE

On Appeal from the 137th District Court
Lubbock County, Texas
Trial Court No. 2012-434,721, Honorable John J. McClendon III, Presiding

May 15, 2017

CONCURRING OPINION

Before QUINN, C.J., and CAMPBELL and PIRTLE, JJ.

I concur in the opinion and judgment of the majority but make one further observation. The majority indicates that appellant raised new issues in his reply brief. I would overrule them because new issues cannot be raised in a reply brief without leave of the court. *Yamine v. HDH Fin., LLC*, No. 07-14-00169-CV, 2015 Tex. App. LEXIS 5994, at *8 n.1 (Tex. App.—Amarillo June 12, 2015 pet. denied) (mem. op.). My review of the record found no such leave being afforded appellant.

I would laud, though, appellant's inclusion of his case citation in the body of his argument. That facilitates my reading and comprehension of the briefs.

Brian Quinn
Chief Justice

Do not publish.