



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Seventh District of Texas at Amarillo**

---

No. 07-17-00222-CV

---

**DENISA POWELL, APPELLANT**

**V.**

**GEICO INDEMNITY COMPANY, APPELLEE**

---

On Appeal from the 118th District Court  
Howard County, Texas  
Trial Court No. 46784, Presiding

---

July 11, 2017

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before QUINN, C.J., and CAMPBELL and PIRTLE, JJ.

Appellant Denisa Powell, appearing *pro se*, attempts to appeal a judgment in favor of appellee Geico Indemnity Company.<sup>1</sup> We dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction.

The trial court signed the judgment on April 28, 2011. Accordingly, Powell's notice of appeal was due thirty days later, by May 28, 2011. See TEX. R. APP. P. 26.1.

---

<sup>1</sup> The case was transferred from the Eleventh Court of Appeals to our Court, under an order of the Supreme Court of Texas. See TEX. GOV'T CODE ANN. § 73.001 (West 2013); TEX. R. APP. P. 41.3 (precedent of transferor court).

Powell did not file a notice of appeal, however, until June 15, 2017. By letter dated June 19, the Clerk of the Eleventh Court of Appeals notified Powell that her notice of appeal appeared to be untimely and, therefore, her appeal was subject to dismissal for want of jurisdiction. The Clerk directed Powell to file a response showing grounds for continuing the appeal by June 29. Although Powell filed a response to the Eleventh Court's letter, she has not demonstrated grounds for continuing the appeal.

A timely-filed notice of appeal is required to invoke this Court's appellate jurisdiction. See TEX. R. APP. P. 25.1(b), 26.1; *Verburgt v. Dorner*, 959 S.W.2d 615, 617 (Tex. 1997). Because Powell's notice of appeal was not timely filed, we must dismiss the appeal.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. See TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a).

Per Curiam