

In The Court of Appeals Seventh District of Texas at Amarillo

No. 07-17-00405-CV

IN RE ANTWAIN JAMAR TUTSON, RELATOR

Original Proceeding

November 7, 2017

CONCURRING OPINION

Before QUINN, C.J., and CAMPBELL and PIRTLE, JJ.

I concur in the Court's judgment and join in its opinion because I read the opinion to construe relator Tutson's pending motions in the trial court to be the equivalent of a pending habeas corpus application under Article 11.07 of the Texas Code of Criminal Procedure. See Tex. Code Crim. Proc. Ann. art. 11.07 (West 2017). In that circumstance, I agree with the majority that we lack jurisdiction over his petition for mandamus. See Padieu v. Court of Appeals of Tex., Fifth Dist., 392 S.W.3d 115, 117 (Tex. Crim. App. 2013); In re McAfee, 53 S.W.3d 715, 717 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2001, orig. proceeding).