



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Seventh District of Texas at Amarillo**

---

No. 07-22-00234-CR

---

**IN RE NICHOLAS V. BLAIR, RELATOR**

---

**OPINION ON ORIGINAL PROCEEDING FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

---

August 18, 2022

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Before QUINN, C.J., and PARKER and DOSS, JJ.

Pending before the Court is a pro se petition for writ of mandamus by Nicholas V. Blair concerning “an order made by Magistrate Judge Jones on June 8th, 2022.” Said order purportedly reveals the intent of Judge Jones to refuse “setting a bond” or evinces entry of “a no bond order.” We deny the petition for the following reasons.

Blair did not accompany his petition with a copy of the order about which he complains. Such was required by applicable rule of appellate procedure. See TEX. R. APP. P. 52.3(k)(1)(A) (stating that an appendix must contain a certified or sworn copy of any order complained of).

Second, because we have not seen the order complained of, we cannot determine if it is properly reviewable by mandamus. That is, an order denying an application for pretrial habeas corpus relief by release on personal bond or bail reduction is reviewable by appeal. See *In re Rodriguez*, No. 07-17-00079-CV, 2017 Tex. App. LEXIS 2343, at \*4 (Tex. App.—Amarillo Mar. 20, 2017, orig. proceeding) (per curiam) (mem. op.). If the alleged order at bar depicts action upon such a pretrial habeas corpus application, then Blair has an available legal remedy through appeal. The availability of such a remedy would mean he cannot obtain relief through a petition for writ of mandamus. See *id.*

Consequently, we deny the petition for writ of mandamus at this juncture.

Brian Quinn  
Chief Justice

Do not publish.