

In The Court of Appeals Sixth Appellate District of Texas at Texarkana

No. 06-15-00199-CR

ASHLEY RENEE WILLIAMS, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 196th District Court Hunt County, Texas Trial Court No. 30,558

Before Morriss, C.J., Moseley and Burgess, JJ. Memorandum Opinion by Justice Moseley

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Ashley Renee Williams appeals her conviction for intentional bodily injury to a child. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 22.04(f) (West Supp. 2015). Williams was sentenced to four years' imprisonment. Williams was represented by retained counsel at trial and a different appointed attorney on appeal.

William's attorney on appeal has filed a brief which discusses the record and reviews the proceedings in detail. The brief sets out the procedural history and summarizes the evidence elicited during the course of the proceeding. Meeting the requirements of *Anders v. California*, counsel has provided a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced on appeal. *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 743–44 (1967); *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403, 406 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008) (orig. proceeding); *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 509–10 (Tex. Crim. App. 1981); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 812–13 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel has also filed a motion with this Court seeking to withdraw as counsel in this appeal.

Counsel provided Williams with a copy of the brief, the appellate record, and the motion to withdraw. Counsel also informed Williams of her right to file a pro se response and of her right to review the record. William's pro se response, if any, was due on or before March 11, 2016. Williams has not filed a pro se response, nor has she requested an extension of time in which to file such a response.

We have determined that this appeal is wholly frivolous. We have independently reviewed

the clerk's and reporter's records, and we agree that no arguable issues support an appeal. See

Bledsoe v. State, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

In the Anders context, once we determine that the appeal is without merit and is frivolous,

we must either dismiss the appeal or affirm the trial court's judgment. See Anders, 386 U.S. 738.

We affirm the judgment of the trial court.¹

Bailey C. Moseley

Justice

Date Submitted:

April 7, 2016

Date Decided:

May 18, 2016

Do Not Publish

_

¹Since we agree this case presents no reversible error, we also, in accordance with *Anders*, grant counsel's request to withdraw from further representation of appellant in this case. *Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744. No substitute counsel will be appointed. Should appellant wish to seek further review of this case by the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, appellant must either retain an attorney to file a petition for discretionary review or appellant must file a pro se petition for discretionary review. Any petition for discretionary review must be filed within thirty days from either the date of this opinion or the date on which the last timely motion for rehearing was overruled by this Court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.2. Any petition for discretionary review must be filed with the clerk of the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.3. Any petition for discretionary review should comply with the requirements of Rule 68.4 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.4.

3