



**In The
Court of Appeals
Sixth Appellate District of Texas at Texarkana**

No. 06-16-00015-CR

TRAVALYN ALDRIDGE, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 102nd District Court
Bowie County, Texas
Trial Court No. 15F0395-102

Before Morriss, C.J., Moseley and Burgess, JJ.
Memorandum Opinion by Justice Burgess

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Following a bench trial, Travalyn Aldridge was convicted of burglary of a habitation and was sentenced to thirty years' imprisonment. Aldridge appeals.

Aldridge's attorney filed a brief stating that he reviewed the record and found no genuinely arguable issues to raise on appeal. The brief sets out the procedural history and summarizes the evidence elicited during the course of the proceedings. Meeting the requirements of *Anders v. California*, counsel has provided a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced on appeal. *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 743–44 (1967); *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403, 406 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008) (orig. proceeding); *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 509–10 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 812–13 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978). Counsel also filed a motion with this Court seeking to withdraw as counsel in this appeal.

On May 17, 2016, counsel mailed to Aldridge a copy of the brief, the appellate record, and the motion to withdraw. Aldridge was provided a free copy of the appellate record and was informed of his right to review that record and file a pro se response. By letter dated May 18, 2016, this Court informed Aldridge that any pro se response was due on or before June 17, 2016. To date, Aldridge has not filed a pro se response.

We have determined that this appeal is wholly frivolous. We have independently reviewed the clerk's record and the reporter's record, and we agree with counsel that no arguable issues support an appeal. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

We affirm the trial court's judgment.¹

Ralph K. Burgess
Justice

Date Submitted: July 20, 2016
Date Decided: July 22, 2016

Do Not Publish

¹Since we agree this case presents no reversible error, we also, in accordance with *Anders*, grant counsel's request to withdraw from further representation of appellant in this case. *Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744. No substitute counsel will be appointed. Should appellant desire to seek further review of this case by the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, she must either retain an attorney to file a petition for discretionary review (1) must be filed within thirty days from either the date of this opinion or the date on which the last timely motion for rehearing was overruled by this Court, *see* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.2, (2) must be filed with the clerk of the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, *see* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.3, and (3) should comply with the requirements of Rule 68.4 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure, *see* TEX. R. APP. P. 68.4.