



**IN THE  
TENTH COURT OF APPEALS**

---

**No. 10-16-00010-CR**

**IN RE KAMERON PEARSON**

---

**Original Proceeding**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

---

---

In this original proceeding,<sup>1</sup> Relator Kameron Pearson, appearing pro se, seeks mandamus relief in the form of compelling the Respondent trial court judge to grant Pearson's motion for judgment nunc pro tunc and delete the assessment of the attorney's fees of his court-appointed attorney as court costs on the ground that Pearson was and remains indigent. The trial court allegedly denied the motion for judgment nunc pro tunc, but the order included in Pearson's record is one that denies a "Pro Se

---

<sup>1</sup> The application (petition) for writ of mandamus lacks proof of service on the State, which is a real party in interest in this proceeding because it was a party in the underlying criminal case. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 52.2. A copy of all documents presented to the Court must be served *on all parties* (*i.e.*, the trial court judge and the State through the district attorney in this proceeding) and must contain proof of service. *Id.* R. 9.5. To expedite this matter, we invoke Rule of Appellate Procedure 2 to suspend these requirements. *Id.* R. 2.

Motion/Declaration of Inability to Pay Costs.”

The State has filed a motion to dismiss this proceeding as moot. It asserts that the trial court has granted the motion for judgment nunc pro tunc, and it attaches a file-marked copy of the trial court’s order that grants Pearson’s motion and orders that the requirement that Pearson pay attorney’s fees be deleted from the judgment.

The State’s motion to dismiss is granted, and the application (petition) for writ of mandamus is dismissed as moot.

REX D. DAVIS  
Justice

Before Chief Justice Gray,  
Justice Davis, and  
Justice Scoggins  
Petition dismissed  
Opinion delivered and filed January 28, 2016  
Do not publish  
[OT06]

