

IN THE TENTH COURT OF APPEALS

No. 10-16-00028-CR

ORLANDO WILLIAM SANTANA,

Appellant

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

From the 272nd District Court Brazos County, Texas Trial Court No. 15-01407-CRF-272

MEMORANDUM OPINION

The jury convicted Orlando William Santana of the offense of failure to register as a sex offender. The trial court assessed punishment at 15 years confinement. We affirm.

Santana's appointed counsel filed an *Anders* brief asserting that she has diligently reviewed the appellate record and that, in her opinion, the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel informed Santana of his right to submit a brief on his own behalf. Santana did not file a brief. Counsel's brief evidences a professional

evaluation of the record for error, and we conclude that counsel performed the duties required of appointed counsel. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. at 744; *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 812 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *see also In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403, 407 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008).

In reviewing an *Anders* appeal, we must, "after a full examination of all the proceedings, ... decide whether the case is wholly frivolous." *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. at; accord *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 509-11 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). An appeal is "wholly frivolous" or "without merit" when it "lacks any basis in law or fact." *McCoy v. Court of Appeals*, 486 U.S. 429, 439 n. 10 (1988). After a review of the entire record in this appeal, we determine the appeal to be wholly frivolous. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). Accordingly, we affirm the trial court's judgment.

Counsel's request that she be allowed to withdraw from representation of Santana is granted. Additionally, counsel must send Santana a copy of our decision, notify Santana of his right to file a pro se petition for discretionary review, and send this Court a letter certifying counsel's compliance with Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 48.4. Tex.R.App.P. 48.4; see also In re Schulman, 252 S.W.3d at 409 n.22.

AL SCOGGINS
Justice

Santana v. State Page 2

Before Chief Justice Gray,
Justice Davis, and
Justice Scoggins
Affirmed; motion granted
Opinion delivered and filed August 3, 2016
Do not publish
[CR25]



Santana v. State Page 3