

## IN THE TENTH COURT OF APPEALS

No. 10-19-00261-CR

DAVID GONZALEZ-ESTRADA,

**Appellant** 

v.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

**Appellee** 

From the 54th District Court McLennan County, Texas Trial Court No. 2018-1530-C2

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

David Gonzalez-Estrada was convicted of online solicitation of a minor. *See* TEX. PENAL CODE ANN. § 33.021 (West 2016). We affirm the trial court's judgment.

Gonzalez-Estrada's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw and an *Anders* brief in support of the motion asserting that he has diligently reviewed the appellate record and that, in his opinion, the appeal is frivolous. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's brief evidences a professional evaluation of the record for error and

compliance with the other duties of appointed counsel. We conclude that counsel has

performed the duties required of appointed counsel. See Anders, 386 U.S. at 744; High v.

State, 573 S.W.2d 807, 812 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); see also Kelly v. State, 436 S.W.3d 313,

319-320 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014); In re Schulman, 252 S.W.3d 403, 407 (Tex. Crim. App.

2008).

In reviewing an Anders appeal, we must, "after a full examination of all the

proceedings, . . . decide whether the case is wholly frivolous." Anders, 386 U.S. at 744; see

Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988); accord Stafford v. State, 813 S.W.2d 503, 509-11 (Tex.

Crim. App. 1991). An appeal is "wholly frivolous" or "without merit" when it "lacks any

basis in law or fact." McCoy v. Court of Appeals, 486 U.S. 429, 439 n. 10 (1988). After a

review of the entire record in this appeal, we have determined the appeal to be wholly

frivolous. See Bledsoe v. State, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). Accordingly,

we affirm the trial court's judgment.

Counsel's motion to withdraw from representation of Gonzalez-Estrada is

granted.

JOHN E. NEILL

**Justice** 

Before Chief Justice Gray,
Justice Davis, and
Justice Neill
Affirmed; motion granted
Opinion delivered and filed February 26, 2020
Do not publish
[CR25]



Gonzalez-Estrada v. State Page 3