## **TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

## NO. 03-01-00106-CR

James Paul Hicks, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

## FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY, 264TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT NO. 50,118, HONORABLE JOE CARROLL, JUDGE PRESIDING

Appellant James Paul Hicks was placed on deferred adjudication community supervision after he pleaded no contest to aggravated sexual assault of a child. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 22.021 (West Supp. 2001). The court later revoked supervision, adjudicated appellant guilty, and imposed sentence of imprisonment for six years.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant, and appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief.

No pro se brief has been filed. Appellant's father, however, sent the Court a letter and certain accompanying documents that he asserts raise questions about the regularity of the proceedings below. We have examined these documents and find no basis for disturbing the district court's judgment.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

## Mack Kidd, Justice

Before Justices Kidd, B. A. Smith and Puryear

Affirmed

\_

Filed: June 29, 2001

Do Not Publish