## **TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

## NO. 03-01-00451-CR

**Donald Lynn Williams, Appellant** 

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

## FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY, 27TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT NO. 49,709, HONORABLE JOE CARROLL, JUDGE PRESIDING

After revoking appellant Donald Lynn Williams's deferred adjudication community supervision, the district court adjudged him guilty of indecency with a child by contact and sentenced him to twelve years in prison. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 21.11 (West Supp. 2002). Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that this appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516

S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant, and appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

Mack Kidd, Justice

Before Justices Kidd, Yeakel and Patterson

Affirmed

Filed: January 10, 2002

Do Not Publish