

**TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

---

**NO. 03-02-00531-CR**

---

**Henry Belford Blount, Appellant**

**v.**

**The State of Texas, Appellee**

---

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY, 27TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
NO. 51,580, HONORABLE JOE CARROLL, JUDGE PRESIDING**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

After pleading guilty, appellant Henry Belford Blount was convicted of aggravated sexual assault. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. ' 22.021 (West Supp. 2003). The district court assessed punishment at imprisonment for thirty years.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also* *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). A copy of

counsel's brief was delivered to appellant, and appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

David Puryear, Justice

Before Chief Justice Law, Justices B. A. Smith and Puryear

Affirmed

Filed: January 24, 2003

Do Not Publish