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## MEMORANDUMOPINION

Appellant Henry James Weatherman was placed on deferred adjudication community supervision after he pleaded guilty to possessing between four and two hundred grams of cocaine. Tex. Health \& Safety Code Ann. ' 481.115 (West Supp. 2003). He later pleaded true to the allegations in the State干 motion to adjudicate, after which the court revoked supervision, adjudicated him guilty, and sentenced him to twelve years in prison.

Appellant ₹court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. See also Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); High v. State, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); Currie v. State, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); Jackson v. State, 485 S.W.2d

553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); Gainous v. State, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). A copy of counself brief was delivered to appellant, and appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel干brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. Counsel₹motion to withdraw is granted.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

Jan P. Patterson, Justice

Before Justices Kidd, Yeakel and Patterson

## Affirmed
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