

**TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

---

**NO. 03-03-00013-CR**

**NO. 03-03-00014-CR**

---

**Jack Garner Wright, Appellant**

**v.**

**The State of Texas, Appellee**

---

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY, 27TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
NOS. 53,201 & 53,200, HONORABLE MARTHA J. TRUDO, JUDGE PRESIDING**

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Appellant Jack Garner Wright pleaded guilty to sexual assault of a child and indecency with a child by contact. Tex. Pen. Code Ann. ' ' 21.11, 22.011 (West 2003). In both cases, the district court adjudged him guilty and sentenced him to eighteen years in prison.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeals are frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). A copy of

counsel's brief was delivered to appellant, and appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeals are frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record of either cause that might arguably support the appeal. Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

The judgments of conviction are affirmed.

W. Kenneth Law, Chief Justice

Before Chief Justice Law, Justices B. A. Smith and Puryear

Affirmed

Filed: April 10, 2003

Do Not Publish