## TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-03-00069-CR

**Eddie Wayne Palmer, Appellant** 

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY, 27TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT NO. 48269, HONORABLE JOE CARROLL, JUDGE PRESIDING

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Eddie Wayne Palmer was placed on deferred adjudication community supervision after he pleaded guilty to carrying a weapon on licensed premises. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 46.02(a), (c) (West 2003). At a subsequent hearing on the State's motion to adjudicate, appellant pleaded true to the nine violations of the conditions of supervision alleged in the State's motion. The court adjudged him guilty and sentenced him to ten years' imprisonment.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex.

Crim. App. 1969). A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant, and he was advised of his

right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous

and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

Bea Ann Smith, Justice

Before Chief Justice Law, Justices B. A. Smith and Patterson

Affirmed

Filed: December 4, 2003

Do Not Publish

2