## TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-05-00049-CR

Samuel Lee Morgan, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY, 264TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT NO. 50036, HONORABLE JOE CARROLL, JUDGE PRESIDING

## MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Samuel Lee Morgan was placed on deferred adjudication community supervision after he pleaded guilty to sexual assault. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 22.011 (West Supp. 2004-05). He was subsequently adjudicated guilty and sentenced to five years' imprisonment. This appeal followed.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex.

Crim. App. 1969). Appellant received a copy of counsel's brief and was advised of his right to

examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous

and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. Counsel's

motion to withdraw is granted.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

David Puryear, Justice

Before Chief Justice Law, Justices Patterson and Puryear

Affirmed

Filed: July 12, 2005

Do Not Publish

2