TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-05-00343-CR

Charles Edward Cross, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY, 264TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT NO. 55293, HONORABLE MARTHA J. TRUDO, JUDGE PRESIDING

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Charles Edward Cross was placed on deferred adjudication community supervision after pleading guilty to aggravated assault. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 22.02 (West Supp. 2004-05). The court later granted the State's motion to adjudicate and sentenced Cross to four years in prison.

Cross's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App.

1969). Cross received a copy of counsel's brief and was advised of his right to examine the appellate

record and file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous

and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. Counsel's

motion to withdraw is granted.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

Bea Ann Smith, Justice

Before Justices B. A. Smith, Patterson and Puryear

Affirmed

Filed: October 13, 2005

Do Not Publish

2