

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-05-00435-CR

Cecil Matthew Salazar, III, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF TOM GREEN COUNTY, 51ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
NO. A-04-1016-S, HONORABLE BARBARA L. WALTHER, JUDGE PRESIDING**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Cecil Matthew Salazar, III, pleaded guilty before a jury to the offense of attempted capital murder. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 15.01 (West 2003), § 19.03 (West Supp. 2005). After hearing evidence relevant to sentence, the jury assessed punishment at life imprisonment.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also* *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). Appellant also filed a pro se brief after examining the appellate record.

We have reviewed the record, counsel's brief, and the pro se brief. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. *See Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

Jan P. Patterson, Justice

Before Chief Justice Law, Justices Patterson and Pemberton

Affirmed

Filed: May 26, 2006

Do Not Publish