

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-05-00564-CV

Barry C. Dockery, Appellant

v.

Office of the Attorney General of Texas and Rosalind Wykoff, Appellees

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF TRAVIS COUNTY, 126 JUDICIAL DISTRICT
NO. 477,105, HONORABLE PATRICK O. KEEL, JUDGE PRESIDING**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

On October 6, 2005, this Court notified appellant Barry C. Dockery that it appeared from the record that the Court lacks jurisdiction because his notice of appeal was not timely filed. We informed him that his appeal would be dismissed unless he could show that the Court has jurisdiction. Dockery has not responded to this notice.

Dockery's parental rights were terminated by a judgment signed on September 11, 2003. In his pro se brief, Dockery contends that the State has improperly levied his property because the district court's judgment absolved him of liability for unpaid child support.¹ However, his notice

¹ We note that the termination of parental rights does not dissolve a parent's obligation to pay accrued unpaid child support. *See Swate v. Swate*, 72 S.W.3d 763, 771 (Tex. App.—Waco 2002, pet. denied); *In re W.J.S.*, 35 S.W.3d 27, 277 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2000, no pet.); *Walker v. Sheaves*, 533 S.W.2d 87, 91 (Tex. Civ. App.—San Antonio 1976, writ ref'd n.r.e.). Nor does the judgment reflect an agreement with regard to unpaid child support.

of appeal was not filed until September 1, 2005, well over a year past the filing deadline. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 26.1. Dockery has failed to perfect an appeal.² *See id.* Accordingly, we dismiss his appeal for want of jurisdiction.

Bea Ann Smith, Justice

Before Chief Justice Law, Justices B. A. Smith and Puryear

Dismissed for Want of Jurisdiction

Filed: November 15, 2005

² Dockery's brief also raises an issue challenging the district court's recent denial of his request for appointment of counsel in a separate district court proceeding. On October 20, 2005, Dockery filed a notice of appeal challenging the district court's judgment in that case and his new appeal has been assigned the cause number 03-05-0713-CV. Because Dockery's brief in this appeal addresses issues relating to that appeal, the clerk's office has filed copies of Dockery's brief under the new cause number as well.