

**TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

---

---

**NO. 03-06-00018-CR**

---

---

**Clifford Lee Grant, Appellant**

**v.**

**The State of Texas, Appellee**

---

---

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF TRAVIS COUNTY, 147TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
NO. D-1-DC-05-202634, HONORABLE WILFORD FLOWERS, JUDGE PRESIDING**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

A jury found appellant Clifford Lee Grant guilty of tampering with physical evidence. *See* Tex. Pen. Code Ann. § 37.09 (West 2003). The trial court assessed punishment at five years' imprisonment.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also* *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). Appellant received a copy of counsel's brief and was advised of his right to

examine the appellate record and file a pro se brief. No pro se brief or other written response has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

---

G. Alan Waldrop, Justice

Before Chief Justice Law, Justices Puryear and Waldrop

Affirmed

Filed: June 30, 2006

Do Not Publish