TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-07-00620-CV

In re Maria Maya Hernandez, Relator

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING FROM TRAVIS COUNTY

ORDER

Relator Maria Maya Hernandez has filed a petition for writ of prohibition or alternatively, writ of injunction. Relator has also filed a motion for emergency relief, seeking to halt an eviction scheduled for 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, October 31, 2007, to protect our jurisdiction to decide the petition and to protect our jurisdiction over the underlying appeal, currently docketed in this Court as cause number 03-07-524-CV, *Maria Hernandez v. Harvey Ashton Wilson*. The Court may, on motion of a party or on its own motion, "without notice grant any just relief pending the court's action on the petition." *See* Tex. R. App. P. 52.10(b). The Court also has jurisdiction to protect its jurisdiction over the subject matter of an appeal. *See* Tex. Gov't Code Ann. § 22.221(a) (West 2004); *In re Shields*, 190 S.W.3d 717, 718 (Tex. App.—Dallas 2005, orig. proceeding); *In re Jamilah*, No. 14-06-00648-CV, 2006 Tex. App. LEXIS 8366 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] Sept. 26, 2006, orig. proceeding).

¹ The property in question is located at 206 W. 55th St., Austin, Travis County, Texas.

The question on appeal appears to be whether relator/appellant Hernandez has a right to possession of the property in question during her life, based on the construction of a document executed by appellee/real party in interest, Harvey Wilson. Hernandez's eviction would appear to threaten our jurisdiction over the appeal. It appearing that we have jurisdiction to protect our jurisdiction, we will grant the motion for temporary relief and enjoin Hernandez's eviction pending

The motion for emergency relief and petition were filed in our office Tuesday morning, October 30, 2007, in order to stop an eviction scheduled for Wednesday, October 31 at 9:00 a.m. Real party in interest Harvey Wilson has ten days to respond to the petition for prohibition/writ of injunction to argue that a writ of injunction to protect our jurisdiction over the underlying appeal would be inappropriate and whether a bond should be posted.

It is ordered this 30th day of October 2007.

W. Kenneth Law, Chief Justice

Before Chief Justice Law, Justices Puryear and Henson

further action by this Court.