

**TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

---

---

**NO. 03-09-00111-CV**

---

---

**Mary Bohannon, Appellant**

**v.**

**Texas Department of Family and Protective Services, Appellee**

---

---

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF LLANO COUNTY, 424TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
NO. 15880, HONORABLE ROB HOFMANN, JUDGE PRESIDING**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Mary Bohannon appeals the district court's order terminating her parental rights to her minor child, J.H. Bohannon's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also Taylor v. Texas Dep't of Protective & Regulatory Servs.*, 160 S.W.3d 641, 646-47 (Tex. App.—Austin 2005, pet. denied) (applying *Anders* procedure in appeal from termination of parental rights). Bohannon received a copy of counsel's brief and was advised of her right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. *See*

*Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted.

The order of termination is affirmed.

---

Diane M. Henson, Justice

Before Chief Justice Jones, Justices Waldrop and Henson

Affirmed

Filed: September 11, 2009