TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-11-00297-CV

Nancy Ross and Otis Johnson, Appellants

v.

Texas Department of Family and Protective Services, Appellee

FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY, 146TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT NO. 242,771-B, HONORABLE RICK MORRIS, JUDGE PRESIDING

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellants Nancy Ross and Otis Johnson filed this accelerated appeal from the district court's final order terminating their parental rights to their minor child, S.R. Appellants' court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967) by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced on appeal. *See also Taylor v. Texas Dep't of Protective & Regulatory Servs.*, 160 S.W.3d 641, 646-47 (Tex. App.—Austin 2005, pet. denied) (applying *Anders* procedure in appeal from termination of parental rights). Counsel has certified to this Court that he provided appellants with a copy of the *Anders* brief and a notice of their right to examine the appellate record and file pro se briefs. No pro se briefs have been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. Finding nothing in the record that might arguably support an appeal, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw and affirm the order of termination.

J. Woodfin Jones, Chief Justice

Before Chief Justice Jones, Justices Pemberton and Henson

Affirmed

Filed: October 25, 2011