## TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-11-00730-CR

#### Bradric Givante Dwarren Fransaw, Appellant

v.

### The State of Texas, Appellee

# FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY, 264TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT NO. 66961, HONORABLE MARTHA J. TRUDO, JUDGE PRESIDING

### MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Bradric Givante Dwarren Fransaw pleaded guilty to the first-degree felony offense of aggravated sexual assault. *See* Tex. Penal Code Ann. § 22.021 (West Supp. 2012). Following a punishment hearing, the jury assessed punishment at life imprisonment.

Fransaw's court-appointed attorney filed a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). Fransaw received a copy of counsel's brief and was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. No pro se brief has been filed.

We have reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is frivolous

and without merit. We find nothing in the record that might arguably support the appeal. See

Bledsoe v. State, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). Counsel's motion to withdraw

is granted.

The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

Diane M. Henson, Justice

Before Justices Puryear, Henson and Goodwin

Affirmed

Filed: December 28, 2012

Do Not Publish

2