

**TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

---

---

**NO. 03-13-00248-CR**

---

---

**Eddie Earl Wright, Appellant**

**v.**

**The State of Texas, Appellee**

---

---

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY, 264TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
NO. 67626, HONORABLE MARTHA J. TRUDO, JUDGE PRESIDING**

---

---

**M E M O R A N D U M   O P I N I O N**

Eddie Earl Wright plead no contest to the offense of forgery by passing, and the trial court assessed punishment at twenty months in state jail with credit for time served. *See Tex. Penal Code § 32.21.*

Wright's court-appointed attorney has filed a motion to withdraw supported by a brief concluding that this appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See id.*; *see also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988); *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811-13 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978); *Currie v. State*, 516 S.W.2d 684, 684 (Tex. Crim. App. 1974); *Jackson v. State*, 485 S.W.2d 553, 553 (Tex. Crim. App. 1972); *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137, 138 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). Counsel sent a copy of the brief to Wright and advised him of his right to examine the appellate

record and to file a pro se brief. *See Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744. Wright did not file a pro se brief and did not request an extension of time to do so.<sup>1</sup>

We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. *See Garner v. State*, 300 S.W.3d 763, 766 (Tex. Crim. App. 2009); *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). We agree with counsel that the appeal is frivolous, and her motion to withdraw is granted. The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

---

Jeff Rose, Justice

Before Justices Puryear, Rose and Goodwin

Affirmed

Filed: August 15, 2013

Do Not Publish

---

<sup>1</sup> Although he did not file a brief, Wright did file pro se motions seeking appointment of different appellate counsel, reduced sentence, and further time credit. None of these filings present any appellate issues alleging error in the trial court's judgment or pronounced sentence.