

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-13-00418-CV

Brent Tanksley, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF WILLIAMSON COUNTY, 395TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
NO. 00-2044-F395, HONORABLE TAMARA ARRINGTON, JUDGE PRESIDING**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Brent Tanksley, an inmate, has filed a pro se “notice of interlocutory appeal” from the district court’s denial of his “motion for reconsideration of issuance of *capias*” and “motion for speedy trial.” Based on our review of the limited record before us, the motions were filed in what appears to be a proceeding involving the State’s enforcement of Tanksley’s child-support obligations.

Appellate courts generally have jurisdiction over final judgments and interlocutory orders that the Texas Legislature has deemed appealable. *See* Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 51.012; *Lehmann v. Har-Con Corp.*, 39 S.W.3d 191, 195 (Tex. 2001). The interlocutory orders that are at issue here are not among those that we are permitted to review. *See* Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 51.014. Accordingly, we dismiss the appeal for want of jurisdiction. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 42.3(a).

Bob Pemberton, Justice

Before Chief Justice Jones, Justices Pemberton and Field

Dismissed for Want of Jurisdiction

Filed: July 19, 2013