

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-13-00426-CR

Cory DeMarcos Armour, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY, 264TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
NO. 70227, HONORABLE FANCY H. JEZEK, JUDGE PRESIDING**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Cory DeMarcos Armour pleaded guilty to aggravated assault with a deadly weapon. *See* Tex. Penal Code § 22.02. The trial court convicted him of that offense and sentenced him to fifteen years' imprisonment.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney has filed a motion to withdraw supported by a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California* by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967); *Garner v. State*, 300 S.W.3d 763, 766 (Tex. Crim. App. 2009); *see also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 86–87 (1988).

Appellant received a copy of counsel's brief and was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. *See Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744; *Garner*, 300 S.W.3d at 766. We have not received a pro se brief from appellant.

We have conducted an independent review of the record, including appellate counsel's brief, and find no reversible error. *See Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744; *Garner*, 300 S.W.3d at 766; *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). We agree with counsel that the record presents no arguably meritorious grounds for review and the appeal is frivolous.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted. The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

Scott K. Field, Justice

Before Chief Justice Jones, Justices Pemberton and Field

Affirmed

Filed: November 7, 2013

Do Not Publish