

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-13-00721-CR

Moises Guzman, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF TRAVIS COUNTY, 427TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
NO. D-1-DC-12-300225, THE HONORABLE WILFORD FLOWERS, JUDGE PRESIDING**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

A jury convicted appellant Moises Guzman of two counts of indecency with a child by sexual contact, *see* Tex. Penal Code § 22.11(a)(1), and the trial court assessed his punishment at confinement for ten years in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice on each count and ordered the sentences to be served concurrently, *see id.* § 12.33.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney has filed a motion to withdraw supported by a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California* by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967); *Garner v. State*, 300 S.W.3d 763, 766 (Tex. Crim. App. 2009); *see also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988).

Appellant's counsel provided appellant a copy of the brief and advised appellant of his right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se brief. *See Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744; *Garner*, 300 S.W.3d at 766. No pro se brief or other written response has been filed.

We have conducted an independent review of the record and find no reversible error. *See Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744; *Garner*, 300 S.W.3d at 766; *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). We agree with counsel that the record presents no arguably meritorious grounds for review and the appeal is frivolous. Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted. The trial court's judgments of conviction are affirmed.

Melissa Goodwin, Justice

Before Justices Puryear, Goodwin, and Field

Affirmed

Filed: July 22, 2014

Do Not Publish