

**TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN**

---

---

**NO. 03-14-00545-CR**

---

---

**Richard Lee Pollard, Appellant**

**v.**

**The State of Texas, Appellee**

---

---

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF LLANO COUNTY, 33RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
NO. CR6692, HONORABLE DAN H. MILLS, JUDGE PRESIDING**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

Appellant Richard Lee Pollard was convicted by a jury of driving while intoxicated. *See* Tex. Penal Code § 49.09(b). During the punishment phase of the trial, the jury heard evidence that appellant had previously been convicted of felony driving while intoxicated and also had previously been convicted of intoxication manslaughter. The jury assessed punishment at life in prison.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney has filed a motion to withdraw supported by a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. Counsel's brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California* by presenting a professional evaluation of the record and demonstrating that there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744-45 (1967); *Garner v. State*, 300 S.W.3d 763, 766 (Tex. Crim. App. 2009); *see also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-82 (1988). Appellant's counsel has represented to the Court that he provided copies of the motion and brief to appellant; advised appellant of his right to examine the appellate record,

file a pro se brief, and pursue discretionary review following resolution of the appeal in this Court; and provided appellant with a form motion for pro se access to the appellate record along with the mailing address of this Court. *See Kelly v. State*, 436 S.W.3d 313, 319-21 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014); *see also Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744; *Garner*, 300 S.W.3d at 766. Appellant requested and received the appellate record and filed a pro se brief.

We have independently reviewed the record and appellant's pro se brief and have found nothing that might arguably support the appeal. *See Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744; *Garner*, 300 S.W.3d at 766; *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). We agree with counsel that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. We grant counsel's motion to withdraw and affirm the judgment of conviction.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> No substitute counsel will be appointed. Should appellant wish to seek further review of his case by the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, he must either retain an attorney to file a petition for discretionary review or file a pro se petition for discretionary review. *See generally* Tex. R. App. P. 68-79 (governing proceedings in Court of Criminal Appeals). Any petition for discretionary review must be filed within thirty days from the date of either this opinion or the date that this Court overrules the last timely motion for rehearing filed. *See id.* R. 68.2. The petition must be filed with the clerk of the Court of Criminal Appeals. *Id.* R. 68.3(a). If the petition is mistakenly filed with this Court, it will be forwarded to the Court of Criminal Appeals. *Id.* R. 68.3(b). Any petition for discretionary review should comply with the rules of appellate procedure. *See id.* R. 68.4. Once this Court receives notice that a petition has been filed, the filings in this case cause will be forwarded to the Court of Criminal Appeals. *See id.* R. 68.7.

---

David Puryear, Justice

Before Justices Puryear, Pemberton, and Bourland

Affirmed

Filed: August 6, 2015

Do Not Publish