TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

NO. 03-15-00544-CR

James Ray Parker, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF TOM GREEN COUNTY, 119TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT NO. B-13-0458-SA, HONORABLE BARBARA L. WALTHER, JUDGE PRESIDING

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant James Ray Parker was charged with aggravated assault with a deadly weapon, *see* Tex. Penal Code § 22.02(a)(2), a second-degree felony enhanced to habitual. A jury found Parker guilty and assessed Parker's punishment at 99 years in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice-Institutional Division.

Appellant's court-appointed attorney has filed a motion to withdraw supported by a brief concluding that the appeal is frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California* by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967); *Garner v. State*, 300 S.W.3d 763, 766 (Tex. Crim. App. 2009); *see also Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 86–87 (1988).

Appellant received a copy of counsel's brief and was advised of his right to examine

the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. See Anders, 386 U.S. at 744; Garner, 300 S.W.3d at

766. Appellant requested and received the appellate record and filed a pro se brief.

We have conducted an independent review of the record, including appellate

counsel's brief and appellant's brief, and find no reversible error. See Anders, 386 U.S. at 744;

Garner, 300 S.W.3d at 766; Bledsoe v. State, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826–27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005). We

agree with counsel that the record presents no arguably meritorious grounds for review and the

appeal is frivolous.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted. The judgment of conviction is affirmed.

Scott K. Field, Justice

Before Justices Puryear, Goodwin, and Field

Affirmed

Filed: July 8, 2016

Do Not Publish

2