

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

**NO. 03-17-00274-CR
NO. 03-17-00275-CR**

Bradley D. Miller, Appellant

v.

The State of Texas, Appellee

**FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF WILLIAMSON COUNTY, 368TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
NO. 16-0165-K368 & 16-0150-K368, HONORABLE RICK KENNON, JUDGE PRESIDING**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant Bradley D. Miller seeks to appeal the denial of his pretrial motion to reduce bail. The State has filed a motion to dismiss asserting that this Court lacks jurisdiction to consider Miller's interlocutory appeals.

Courts of appeals do not have jurisdiction to review interlocutory orders in a criminal appeal unless that jurisdiction has been expressly granted by law. *Ragston v. State*, 424 S.W.3d 49, 52 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014) (citing *Apolinar v. State*, 820 S.W.2d 792, 794 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991)). There is no constitutional or statutory authority granting appellate courts jurisdiction to review interlocutory orders regarding excessive bail or the denial of bail. *Ragston*, 424 S.W.3d 52. Accordingly, we grant the State's motion and dismiss the appeals for want of jurisdiction. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 43.2(e).

Scott K. Field, Justice

Before Chief Justice Rose, Justices Field and Bourland

Dismissed for Want of Jurisdiction

Filed: June 23, 2017

Do Not Publish