



NUMBER 13-10-00497-CV

**COURT OF APPEALS**

**THIRTEENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS**

**CORPUS CHRISTI - EDINBURG**

---

---

EDGAR NANDIN,

Appellant,

v.

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, KINGSVILLE,

Appellee.

---

---

On Appeal from the 105th District Court  
of Kleberg County, Texas.

---

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**

**Before Chief Justice Valdez and Justices Rodriguez and Garza  
Memorandum Opinion Per Curiam**

The appellant's brief in the above cause was due on March 31, 2011. On April 29, 2011, the Clerk of the Court notified appellant that the brief had not been timely filed and that the appeal was subject to dismissal for want of prosecution under Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 38.8(a)(1), unless within ten days from the date of receipt of this letter, appellant reasonably explained the failure and the appellee was not significantly

injured by the appellant's failure to timely file a brief. To date, no response has been received from appellant.

Appellant has failed to either reasonably explain his failure to file a brief, file a motion for extension of time to file his brief, or file his brief. Accordingly, the appeal is DISMISSED FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION. See TEX. R. APP. P. 38.8(a), 42.3(b).

PER CURIAM

Delivered and filed the  
23rd day of June, 2011.