



NUMBER 13-11-00269-CV

COURT OF APPEALS

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS

CORPUS CHRISTI - EDINBURG

IN THE INTEREST OF T.M., A CHILD

**On appeal from the County Court at Law
of Aransas County, Texas.**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

**Before Justices Rodriguez, Benavides, and Perkes
Memorandum Opinion Per Curiam**

Appellant, J.L.¹, filed an appeal from a judgment entered by the County Court at Law of Aransas County, Texas, in cause number A-10-7127-FL. On June 22, 2011, the Clerk of this Court notified appellant that the clerk's record in the above cause was originally due on June 20, 2011, and that the district clerk, Pam Heard, had notified this

¹ In appeals from cases involving the termination of parental rights, the rules of appellate procedure require the use of an alias to refer to a minor, "and if necessary to protect the minor's identity, to the minor's parent or other family member." Tex. R. App. P. 9.8.

Court that appellant failed to make arrangements for payment of the clerk's record. The Clerk of this Court notified appellant of this defect so that steps could be taken to correct the defect, if it could be done. See TEX. R. APP. P. 37.3, 42.3(b),(c). Appellant was advised that, if the defect was not corrected within ten days from the date of receipt of this notice, the appeal would be dismissed for want of prosecution.

On October 13, 2011, the Clerk of this Court again notified appellant that the clerk's record had not been filed and that the district clerk, Pam Heard, had notified this Court that appellant failed to make arrangements for payment of the clerk's record. Appellant was advised that, if the defect was not corrected within ten days from the date of receipt of this notice, the appeal would be dismissed for want of prosecution. The notice was sent to appellant's address by certified mail return receipt requested; however, the certified mail was returned as unclaimed and unable to forward. Subsequently, the Clerk of the Court sent the notice to appellant by regular mail on October 27, 2011.

Appellant has failed to respond to this Court's notices. Accordingly, the appeal is **DISMISSED FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION**. See TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(b), (c).

PER CURIAM

Delivered and filed the
15th day of December, 2011.