



NUMBER 13-12-00549-CV

COURT OF APPEALS

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS

CORPUS CHRISTI - EDINBURG

SALVADOR DE ANDA,

Appellant,

v.

ROSALINDA PROENZA AND HELEN RODRIGUEZ,

Appellees.

On Appeal from the County Court at Law No. 2
of Cameron County, Texas.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

**Before Chief Justice Valdez and Justices Benavides and Perkes
Memorandum Opinion Per Curiam**

Appellant, Salvador De Anda, attempted to perfect an appeal from a judgment entered by the County Court at Law No. 2 of Cameron County, Texas, in cause number 2008-CCL-01097-B. Judgment in this cause was signed on June 6, 2012. Pursuant to Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, appellant=s notice of appeal was due on July 6, 2012, but was not filed until August 20, 2012.

A motion for extension of time is necessarily implied when an appellant, acting in good faith, files a notice of appeal beyond the time allowed by rule 26.1, but within the fifteen-day grace period provided by Rule 26.3 for filing a motion for extension of time. See *Verburgt v. Dorner*, 959 S.W.2d 615, 617-18, 619 (1997) (construing the predecessor to Rule 26). However, appellant must provide a reasonable explanation for the late filing: it is not enough to simply file a notice of appeal. *Id.*; *Woodard v. Higgins*, 140 S.W.3d 462, 462 (Tex. App. Amarillo 2004, no pet.); *In re B.G.*, 104 S.W.3d 565, 567 (Tex. App. Waco 2002, no pet.).

On September 14, 2012, the Clerk of this Court notified appellant of this defect so that steps could be taken to correct the defect, if it could be done. Appellant was advised that, if the defect was not corrected within ten days from the date of receipt of this Court's letter, the appeal would be dismissed. To date, no response has been received from appellant.

The Court, having examined and fully considered the documents on file, appellant's failure to timely perfect his appeal, and appellant's failure to respond to this Court's notice, is of the opinion that the appeal should be dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Accordingly, the appeal is hereby DISMISSED FOR WANT OF JURISDICTION. See TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a)(c).

PER CURIAM

Delivered and filed the
29th day of November, 2012.