

NUMBER 13-16-00339-CV

COURT OF APPEALS

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS

CORPUS CHRISTI - EDINBURG

IN RE MARK E. MACIAS

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before Justices Rodriguez, Benavides, and Perkes Memorandum Opinion Per Curiam¹

On June 28, 2016, relator Mark E. Macias filed a petition for writ of mandamus contending that the trial court abused its discretion by denying his motion to dismiss the underlying cause of action as baseless under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 91a. *See generally* TEX. R. CIV. P. 91a. Macias and the real parties in interest, Jose A. Rodriguez, William J. Tinning, the Law Office of William J. Tinning, Frank Enriquez, and the Law

¹ See TEX. R. APP. P. 52.8(d) ("When denying relief, the court may hand down an opinion but is not required to do so. When granting relief, the court must hand down an opinion as in any other case."); TEX. R. APP. P. 47.4 (distinguishing opinions and memorandum opinions).

Office of Frank Enriquez have now filed a joint motion to dismiss this original proceeding on grounds that the parties have agreed to settle the underlying case. The parties thus ask that we dismiss this petition for writ of mandamus with each party to bear its own costs and fees.

The Court, having examined and fully considered the petition for writ of mandamus and the joint motion to dismiss, is of the opinion that the joint motion to dismiss should be granted. See In re Kellogg Brown & Root, Inc., 166 S.W.3d 732, 737 (Tex. 2005) ("A case becomes moot if a controversy ceases to exist between the parties at any stage of the legal proceedings . . ."); State Bar of Tex. v. Gomez, 891 S.W.2d 243, 245 (Tex. 1994) (stating that, for a controversy to be justiciable, there must be a real controversy between the parties that will be actually resolved by the judicial relief sought). Accordingly, we DISMISS this original proceeding as moot with each party to bear its own costs and fees.

PER CURIAM

Delivered and filed the 3rd day of October, 2016.