



NUMBER 13-19-00273-CV

COURT OF APPEALS

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS

CORPUS CHRISTI – EDINBURG

BELINDA LONGORIA AND ROSS LONGORIA,

Appellants,

v.

ROSALINDA SAENZ,

Appellee.

On appeal from the 319th District Court
of Nueces County, Texas.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

**Before Chief Justice Contreras and Justices Hinojosa and Tijerina
Memorandum Opinion by Chief Justice Contreras**

Appellants Belinda Longoria and Ross Longoria have filed a petition for permissive interlocutory appeal seeking to challenge the trial court's August 5, 2019 order denying their amended motion to set aside a partial summary judgment order and the trial court's denial of their motion to continue a hearing.

To be entitled to a permissive appeal from an interlocutory order that is not

otherwise appealable, the requesting party must establish to the trial court that (1) the order “involves a controlling question of law as to which there is a substantial ground for difference of opinion” and (2) allowing an immediate appeal “may advance the ultimate termination of the litigation.” TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 51.014(d); see *also* TEX. R. APP. P. 28.3. If the trial court grants permission to appeal, as here, we may accept the appeal if the appeal is warranted under the foregoing criteria. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 51.014(f).

Having reviewed appellants’ petition and the record documents attached thereto, this Court is of the opinion that appellants have not shown their entitlement to permissive interlocutory appeal. Accordingly, the petition for permissive interlocutory appeal is DENIED. All pending motions are denied as moot.

DORI CONTRERAS
Chief Justice

Delivered and filed the 19th
day of September, 2019.