



NUMBER 13-19-00370-CV

COURT OF APPEALS

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT OF TEXAS

CORPUS CHRISTI - EDINBURG

TERESA A. JOHNSON,

Appellant,

V.

SUNTRUST BANK D/B/A LIGHTSTREAM,

Appellee.

On appeal from the 370th District Court
of Hidalgo County, Texas.

MEMORANDUM OPINION

**Before Justices Benavides, Longoria, and Perkes
Memorandum Opinion by Justice Benavides**

Appellant Teresa A. Johnson, proceeding pro se, attempted to perfect an appeal from trial court cause number C-2122-19-G in the 370th District Court of Hidalgo County, Texas. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction.

Generally, appellate courts have jurisdiction only over appeals from final judgments. See *City of Watauga v. Gordon*, 434 S.W.3d 586, 588 (Tex. 2014); *Lehmann*

v. Har-Con Corp., 39 S.W.3d 191, 195 (Tex. 2001). Further, appellate courts have jurisdiction to consider appeals of interlocutory orders only if a statute explicitly provides for such an appeal. *Tex. A & M Univ. Sys. v. Koseoglu*, 233 S.W.3d 835, 840 (Tex. 2007); see *City of Watauga*, 434 S.W.3d at 588.

Appellant's notice of appeal states that she wishes to appeal "the Citation served on July 13, 2019." On August 2, 2019, the Clerk of this Court notified appellant that it appeared that there was no final, appealable order so that steps could be taken to correct this defect, if it could be done. See TEX. R. APP. P. 37.1, 42.3(a). Appellant was advised that the appeal would be dismissed if the defect was not corrected within ten days from the date of receipt of this notice. Appellant did not correct the defect or otherwise respond to this Court's notice.

The Court, having considered the documents on file and appellant's failure to correct the defect in this matter, is of the opinion that the appeal should be dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Accordingly, we DISMISS the appeal for want of jurisdiction. See *id.* R. 37.1, 42.3(a),(c).

GINA M. BENAVIDES,
Justice

Delivered and filed the
12th day of September, 2019.