

**NO. 12-11-00108-CR  
NO. 12-11-00109-CR  
NO. 12-11-00110-CR  
NO. 12-11-00111-CR**

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT  
TYLER, TEXAS**

***JESSICA DENISE WILLIAMS,  
APPELLANT***

§

***APPEAL FROM THE 7TH***

***V.***

§

***JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT***

***THE STATE OF TEXAS,  
APPELLEE***

§

***SMITH COUNTY, TEXAS***

---

---

***MEMORANDUM OPINION  
PER CURIAM***

Jessica Denise Williams appeals her three convictions for assault on a public servant and one conviction for aggravated assault with a deadly weapon. After having been placed on community supervision in each case, the trial court found Appellant guilty in each case, revoked community supervision, and assessed punishment at ten years of imprisonment in each case, the sentences to be served concurrently. Appellant's counsel filed a motion to withdraw and a brief in support of that motion in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967) and *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). We dismiss Appellant's appeals.

**ANALYSIS PURSUANT TO *ANDERS V. CALIFORNIA***

Appellant's counsel filed a brief in compliance with *Anders* and *Gainous* in each case, stating that he is well acquainted with the facts in these cases and has diligently reviewed the

appellate record. In compliance with *Anders*, *Gainous*, and *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978), Appellant's brief presents a chronological summation of the procedural history of the cases, and further states that Appellant's counsel is of the opinion that the record reflects no reversible error and counsel is unable to raise any arguable issues for appeal.<sup>1</sup> We have considered counsel's brief and conducted our own independent review of the record. We have found no reversible error. See *Bledsoe v. State*, 178 S.W.3d 824, 826-27 (Tex. Crim. App. 2005).

### CONCLUSION

As required, Appellant's counsel has moved for leave to withdraw. See *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403, 407 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008) (orig. proceeding); *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991). We are in agreement with Appellant's counsel that the appeals are wholly frivolous. Accordingly, his motion to withdraw is hereby **granted**, and the appeals are **dismissed**. See *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 408-09.

Counsel has a duty to, within five days of the date of this opinion, send a copy of the opinion and judgment to Appellant and advise her of her right to file a petition for discretionary review. See TEX. R. APP. P. 48.4; *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 411 n.35. Should Appellant wish to seek further review of this case by the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, she must either retain an attorney to file a petition for discretionary review or she must file a pro se petition for discretionary review. Any petition for discretionary review must be filed within thirty days from the date of this opinion or the date the last timely filed motion for rehearing is overruled by this court. See TEX. R. APP. P. 68.2. Any petition for discretionary review must be filed with the clerk of the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals. See TEX. R. APP. P. 68.3. Any petition for discretionary review should comply with the requirements of Rule 68.4 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. See TEX. R. APP. P. 68.4; *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 408 n.22.

Opinion delivered March 21, 2012.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.

(DO NOT PUBLISH)

---

<sup>1</sup> Counsel for Appellant has certified that he provided Appellant with a copy of this brief. Appellant was given time to file her own brief in this cause. The time for filing such a brief has expired and we have not received a pro se brief.